

Medical Facts in Health Tourism

Fact 1: Not every product that is sold as "healthy" really is "healthy"

Fact 2: The health-oriented customer/tourist will look for health-related products that are evidence-based and sustainable

NEED: Development and expansion of evidencebased health tourism

Are hiking holidays for vacationer with Metabolic Syndrome really healthy? How safe are hiking holidays in the Alps?

Study I (AMAS)

- 2006 Austrian Moderate Altitude





IAS I: Time course and procedures

Pilot Study:Main Study:

Lech, Arlberg (1700 m), n=22Obertauern (MA; 1700 m), n = 36 vs. Bad Tatzmannsdorf (LA; 200 m), n=35

<u>Procedures</u>

- 3-weeks vacation in 4**** Spa/Wellness Hotels
- No dietery restrictions!
- 5 6 coached hiking tours per week (1 4 hrs each) individually adapted by pulse control
- In addition active and passive regenerations in the hotels (sauna, steam bath, mental coaching, yoga etc.)

AMAS I: Changes in Metabolic Parameters



Reduction of total cholesterol: - 13 mg/dl (MA), -14 mg/dl (LA) **Reduction of low density** lipids (LDL): - 11 mg/dl (MA), - 13 mg/dl (LA) **Reduction in total fat mass:** - 3,33 kg (MA), - 5,3 kg (LA)

Greie et al., J Endocrin Invest 2006

AMAS I: Reduction of blood pressure after 3weeks hiking holidays



Figure 1. Results of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring for mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) at the start and at the end of the 3-week vacation in the moderate altitude (MA) group (left row [blue lines = start MA; pink lines = end MA]) and the sea level (SL) group (right row [blue lines = start SL; pink lines = end SL]).

Neumayr et al., Wild Med J 2015

0.0.0



Strauss-Blasche et al., J Travel Med 2004

AMAS II (2005-2008) Alpine Vacations for "Stressed" Tourists

Research Question:

Is a one week lasting alpine vacation adequate to improve bio-psychological parameters in stressed vacationeers?

Design:

6-night active spa/wellness vacation of physically fit, but mentally stressed persons working as managers (Lech, Arlberg, 1.700 m)

Schobersberger et al., S&B 2009

Table 2 AMAS II Results of EBF-24 (Erholungs-Belastungs-Fragebogen)

	Alt 1	Alt 6
Stress phenomena		
General stress/depressiveness	$1.0{\pm}0.6$	0.4 ± 0.4
Emotional stress	$1.4{\pm}0.8$	0.5 ± 0.5
Social stress	$1.4{\pm}0.7$	0.4 ± 0.4
Conflicts/pressure	2.3±1.2	1.2±0.9
Fatigue/time pressure	2.3±1.2	0.6 ± 0.6
Lack of energy	1.5 ± 0.8	0.7±0.3
Somatic complaints	1.8 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.5
Relaxation phenomena		
Success	3.1±1.3	1.7±0.9
Social relaxation	2.3±0.7	3.5±1.5
Somatic relaxation	2.7±0.8	4.3±0.9
General well-being	3.3±1.1	4.3±1.0
Sleep quality	3.7±0.7	4.6±1.0

Mean values \pm SD. By comparison of day 6 (Alt 6) versus day 1 at altitude (Alt 1), there were significant improvements in all categories of the EBF-24 (Wilcoxon test for matched pairs; level of significance p<0.05). Stress phenomena were reduced by about 50%; relaxation phenomena increased at least by 25%

Trend: Short-term vacatior

- Limited time ressources
 Higher workload
- More intensive period of recovery (Cetron & Davies, 2010)
- All-year round tourism product



Short-term vacation (4 nights)

- Participants: Middle-management, high stress level (n=63)
- 3-armed study: One short vacation vs. three consecutive short vacations vs. 4 nights off work at home

AMAS III

- Effects on:
 - Subjective well-being (EBF, PSQ)
 - Objective physiological responses (Heart rate variability; HRV) → indicator for sleep quality











T1: Beginning of vacation T2: End of vacation *: Compared to T1 Strain ≠ Stress
Significant difference between the groups

Strain decreased to a greater extent in the vacation group



Positive effects in all parameters

Significant long-term effects until 45 days after end of vacation

Take home I

 No differences between the groups except for strain (staying at home vs. hotel vacation)





.





Objective Parameter – Sleep Quality <u>1st Vacation: comparison first and last night \rightarrow </u> impairment of sleep quality <u> 3^{rd} Vacation: comparison first and last night \rightarrow </u> no improvement during vacation, however →Sleep quality during 3rd vacation on a higher level as compared to 1st vacation "BOOSTERING"

Take home II

- Positive effects in all perceived parameters
 Unexpected long-term effects until 45 days after end of vacation (one and three short vacations)
 Boostering of sleep quality with repeated vacations
- Challenge -> integrate AMAS III results into new
 - health tourism products

Day Spa

- Short term vacation packages in spa hotels

Acknowledgements:

- Government, County of TyrolTirol Werbung
- Stiftung Propter Homines, Liechtenstein
- IHS Research Institute Prof. Humpeler, Bregenz
- Best Alpine Wellness Hotels





Thank You

